The link between China’s booming economy and deteriorating environment makes it the perfect place for both science and economics students to learn firsthand what it really means to be a citizen of the world.

It’s been said that the nineteenth century belonged to the British Empire, the twentieth century to the United States, and the twenty-first will belong to China. But as this nation of more than 1.3 billion people continues its rush toward becoming the world’s most dominant economy, China’s leaders and citizens are only now beginning to realize the incredible, often devastating impact its newfound wealth is placing on its environment. Three decades of breakneck economic growth (it’s now the world’s fourth largest economy, on its way to surpassing that of the current No. 1, the United States, within 10 years) has left China facing serious energy and environmental problems, including water too polluted for human consumption, acute energy shortages, and vast swaths of land that can no longer be farmed.
What does all this mean for the average business or science major in the United States? Not much more than an interesting series of stats and anecdotes. Unless, that is, these two populations of students get the opportunity to see for themselves how economics directly impacts the environment—and vice versa. Indeed, contemporary China represents an environmental field station where valuable lessons can be learned. Creating short-term education abroad courses in China for undergraduate students that focus on the correlation between economic growth and its impact on the environment will create a powerful tool for effectively promoting global environmental stewardship.

Forever there have been clashing views on environmental issues between economists and environmentalists. We in higher education are partly to blame for this. We define our disciplines as they relate to the content of our packaged textbooks. In doing so, we perpetuate the split. It’s our hope as educators that we can at last build a much-needed bridge between the two fields. But to do this, we need to get students out of the classroom and into the real world. We envision a three-week program that entwines the environmental and economic realities in the field. And by doing so, we believe, the arguments between the two will be lessened, and our students—the future scientists, CEOs, and policy makers—will agree that we need to work in concert with one another.

As we learned from developing and assessing a successful “Field Course Experiential Learning Model” in Costa Rica for undergraduate biology students, such hands-on learning promotes a deep understanding of key scientific concepts. One participating student, for example, commented: “Wow! I have never learned so much in such a short amount of time, or remembered so much of it! The stuff learned is still in my head, everyday, unlike other classes that you forget all the things you learned. We experienced what we were learning, and that made all the difference.” And yet another student reported, “Our field guide ... made great connections illustrating cause and effect relationships.

We traveled much of the country, so I fell I know a lot about the geography and culture. We met many different types of Ticos who shared their opinions and feelings about conservation and human activities.”

But that’s just the beginning. These types of eyewitness programs also encourage students to become more active participants in their own education. And, most important, students gain a better awareness of, and respect for, other disciplines, opinions, and ideas. In analyzing participating students’ field journals, assignments and surveys, we weren’t surprised to find that students made significant gains in their understanding of conservation biology. But the data also revealed an unanticipated result: In journal entries, 88 percent of students made unprompted statements about how the experience influenced them to behave more responsibly with respect to environmental issues as related to conservation practices—improving environmental behavior through non-regulatory means.

In other words, done right, short-term studying abroad puts students on the fast track to becoming thoughtful global citizens. So why keep this model confined to Costa Rica? By applying the same principles used for the Costa Rica courses in creating a course in China, we’ve no doubt we can make great strides for international study. And, hopefully, our students and faculty can directly apply what they’ve learned to better the environment for the Chinese—and, indeed, the world.

Why China? Why Now?

There’s no question that a course such as this could be positioned anywhere in the world. Environmental problems and economic booms are far from unique to China, after all. But to us, China is the perfect place to bring these two student populations together because it’s currently experiencing economic and environmental changes at such dynamic levels. For example, since eco-

TWO DISCIPLINES, ONE GOAL

MARRYING ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC STUDY seems so obvious now that we’ve actually hatched a plan to study and address real-life issues in a country like China. But merging two disparate fields is far from simple. When contemplating a cross-disciplinary short-term study abroad program, here are a few crucial things to consider:

PERSONALITY MATTERS Just as you wouldn’t choose a mate you didn’t adore, you want to pick your partner(s) across-campus wisely. After all, you’re going to be spending countless hours together outlining goals, writing proposals, creating and evaluating assignments, and so much more. Respect for the other’s work goes a long way, sure, but you also want to team with a colleague who’s as excited about the task at hand as you, easy to communicate with, open to compromise, and willing to share the workload.

ESTABLISH PARAMETERS The most successful courses are those with a narrow focus. You may want to change the world, but realize there’s only so much that can realistically be accomplished in a short-term course. For example, with our China program, before settling on Nanjing University and the Jiangsu Province we visited many areas and academic institutions in the country. Everywhere we went people were excited about the possibility of a joint venture, but ultimately we had to narrow it down to just one partner and one region to focus our energies.

KEEP YOUR EYE ON THE PRIZE Remember your ultimate goal: teaching students. At every step of the planning process, ask yourselves: How will this benefit the student’s academic experience? This simple question will help you fine-tune your program’s course.
Selecting Jiangsu Province and Nanjing University

When we first envisioned a short-term study abroad course in China, so many of the country’s precious environmental regions came to mind. During our trip this past summer, we had the chance to explore the country’s most dense urban centers, where we never saw a glimpse of a blue sky because of the thick cloud of pollution, as well as many of its rural areas, where once rich farmland is now a vast swath of industrial waste.

While it would be wonderful to try to have our students study throughout China, realistically we needed to settle on one region and one Chinese counterpart. Jiangsu Province has long been China’s most populated, affluent, and educated region, especially in the southern portion of the province. Since the establishment of the port of Shanghai, it’s also been China’s most heavily industrialized region, responsible for a disproportionate percentage of China’s industrial production. For these reasons, in recent years, it has served as a test bed for Chinese efforts to implement an environmentally sustainable development model.

Nanjing University was founded in the first decade of the twentieth century, and its research institutes are deeply involved in constructing and maintaining green practices (Jiangsu’s “Green Precinct Program”). Both Nanjing and Penn State are members of the Worldwide Universities Network, a major international network for research collaboration and graduate-level student exchange. The Jiangsu Environmental Protection Agency will provide support for the field research aspects of the study abroad program.

Population pressures, however, have strained once vibrant farm—and rangeland to the point where vast swaths of once fertile ground has turned to desert—an irreversible process. The resulting annual economic loss from desertification of these lands is estimated at $6.5 billion (U.S.) annually. In the Yunnan province in the southwest, deforestation and the resulting erosion has been responsible for a change in climate (declining rainfall and rising temperatures) and the loss of hundreds of plant and animal species.

Of course, the desire to produce more economic goods requires that China use its natural resources with more intensity. In several cities, local governments struggle to treat and process hundreds of millions of tons of trash and industrial solid waste (ISW), leaving the door wide open for toxins to find their way into local waterways. Every five years, China stockpiles close to 1 billion tons of untreated ISW. And, despite the establishment of tighter SO2 emission controls, acid rain continues to be a problem (leading to severe forest damage) thanks to the heavy reliance on coal as the primary energy source.

All of the above has helped contribute to the pollution of China’s waterways. According to several studies published in a number of countries (including China), China ranks in the bottom third of nations in terms of water quality. Almost 60 percent of the more than 400 sites along China’s main rivers that have been monitored for water quality are too polluted for human consumption. Pollution has left nearly half of the water in the country’s rivers suitable for agricultural and industrial use only, making fresh drinking water a luxury for many of China’s 800 million peasants. Several villages are forced to deal with water supplies contaminated with fluorine, arsenic, high levels of salt or other industrial pollutants. In 2004 China’s State Environment Protection Administration said the country spent about $136 billion (U.S.), or close to 7 percent of its GDP, to clean up just one year’s worth of the pollution pumped into the country’s environment.

Meanwhile, soaring demand, few conservation efforts and misguided policies have...
caused regional water scarcity problems. About 400 major cities face water shortages and restrictions. The Yellow River, for example, is not only polluted and running dry, but the underground waters on which cities like Beijing increasingly rely on, are being pumped dry. More than 60 million people in China have limited access to water, and almost ten times that number drink contaminated water daily. As a result, factories are closing, tens of millions of people are leaving their land, public health is endangered, and the government faces a future of expensive river diversion and pollution remediation projects, even in areas once rich in water resources.

In a September 2006 news briefing, China’s Vice Minister of Construction, Qiu Baoxing, declared, “China is at the crossroads in dealing with water problems.” China’s water shortage will be critical by 2030 when its population is expected to reach 1.6 billion. The ministry of water resources has calculated that by then China will need 130- to 230-million cubic meters of water more a year than now. Currently the 1.3 billion people of the world’s most populous country have at their disposal only a quarter of the water per person that is available on average around the world.

A Country Ripe for Eager Students

While we have a pretty certain idea of where China’s economy is headed, we do not know what is in store for the future of its environment. Further industrialization of the Chinese economy will lead to more wealth for its people, which will give them the means (and, one would hope, the desire) to clean their environment. But without proper regulation or commitment, further deterioration of the environment can be expected. Either way, as it stands now, the interaction between the Chinese economy and the environment makes for an excellent study for the student interested in environmental management and practices, as well as students studying ways to shape future economic growth and policy.

Yes, many countries, especially developing ones, are facing similar cause and effect stories. But when you factor in China’s storied history—hardship suffered at the hand of harsh dictators, many wars, famine, population explosion, extreme poverty, newfound wealth—it makes one realize why it is important for China’s leaders to safeguard its precious ecosystems now. Already, its people are at risk from years of environmental decay and neglect due to poor management—remember the SARS scare from a few years ago? And cancer rates in the country have risen dramatically in recent years. Yet, there remains the possibility (hope) that China will rise to its challenges and become a great example for all countries to follow. On the other hand, if China’s government and scientists can’t quickly put themselves on the same page, its demise will be ours as well. We are all connected in our one biosphere. Countries have borders, the biosphere doesn’t.

Now is the time for educators of both disciplines to commit themselves to fostering cross-learning and advocacy among their students. How great would it be for students with seemingly separate agendas to find a common ground and build a better understanding of the complicated relationship between the business world and the natural world? After hosting eager Chinese students at our campuses for decades, it’s about time for U.S. students to walk through China’s academic halls and work with some of that country’s esteemed researchers and educators. Experiential learning confronts students with the deeper meaning of globalization, such as an awareness of how human behavior and the environment impact one another, and are both connected and separate.

What’s more, compared to traditional semester-abroad programs, short-term
education abroad programs that integrate assessment into innovative curricular design offer students the same rich learning environments. Indeed, data from three years of Penn State undergraduate field courses shows that promoting experiences in rich environmental contexts through experiential learning not only advances the understanding of biological concepts, but also instills an environmental ethic, and should be considered for application to other branches of study, and other countries.

Making it Work
Using the Costa Rica semester-long “Field Course Experiential Learning Model” as a guide for running short-term study abroad programs, we aim to launch a three-week program in 2008 that promotes an understanding of biological concepts and economic principles. In addition, we seek to create a better understanding between our two countries, and a better understanding of the shared problems that we have, like how to make our economies more efficient, how to deal with energy needs, how to deal with environmental problems, how to deal with educating our young students. It’s also our hope that this experience will instill an environmental ethic in students that they bring home and incorporate into their future studies, careers, and, hopefully, interactions with others.

This past summer, we toured four of China’s top colleges—Peking University, Nanjing University, Fudan University, and Chongqing University—and met with expert faculty at each to discuss possible collaborations. We also met with government agents to gain access to ongoing environmental project sites, such as the North-South Diversion Project, the Lake Tai Region, the Yangtze River and the Three-Gorges Dam. After seeing firsthand the enormous contrasts of the different parts of this country, we determined the latter three areas would be our planned field-based sites of interest. We ultimately made contacts and set up connections with academies and professionals at Nanjing University (near Shanghai), the Jiangsu Environmental Protection Agency’s research institute, and other non-governmental organizations (NGOs) that will be used in teaching the proposed field course.

We’d adapt the above model’s three components: pre-trip preparation, trip experience (or three-week practicum), and post-trip synthesis. Before actually landing in China, U.S. students would first engage in extensive background research (under the guidance of Penn State faculty) to help them prepare for their upcoming field work. In China, they’d be under the guidance of the faculty of Penn State, Nanjing University, scientists, and government leaders. Working side by side with Nanjing undergraduates in the field, partici-
pants will focus on real environmental issues, such as the eutrophication of China's largest fresh-water body, Lake Tai, and the pollution of the Yangtze River due to industrial waste. There will be round-table discussion sessions and participant presentations. Additionally, U.S. and Chinese students will work together to write a report outlining possible solutions to China's most pertinent environmental issues: water and air pollution, loss of biodiversity, global climate change, over-harvesting of marine and terrestrial ecosystems, and watershed management.

As with the Costa Rica model, to maximize the field experience, students will maintain detailed daily journals (similar to field journals used by scientists to organize and document field observations) that will be evaluated at the end of the course. They'll also record, evaluate and report all data gathered from daily research activities. Students will be required to perform authentic tasks that demonstrate knowledge and skills gained. Once back home, students will face reflective activities and Web-based assignments designed to encourage the integration and application of key concepts learned. The most significant part of the “synthesis” stage is devoted to students translating the principles of experiential learning to their own immediate surroundings and daily life. Key here is that students will apply the principals of modern environmental science to the development of a sustainable Chinese economy.

If successful, we'll have illuminated for students the tight parameters policy makers must work within when making decisions with environmental and socio-economic impact. Ultimately, programs like this would at long last bridge the gap between the two fields and create a new generation of global environmental stewards. For as wildlife conservation researcher and writer Howard Youth argues, the actions needed to protect biodiversity not only have economic benefits, but also are the same actions needed to ensure a sustainable future for humanity. 
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