Richard W. Riley College of Education, Sport, and Human Sciences, Winthrop University MATHEMATICS INTERNSHIP I EVALUATION REPORT

| Teacher Candidate Name: | | | WU ID#: | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---|
| School: | | | Grade: | | Date: | |
| Mentor Teacher Name: | | | University | Supervisor N | lame: | |
| | | | | | | |
| OBSERVATIONS | | Lesson Content/Topic | | | | Date |
| University Supervisor | | | | | | |
| oniversity supervisor | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Mentor Teacher | | | | | | |
| | + | | | | | _ |
| Site-Based Observer | | | | | | |
| | | | | | I | |
| EVALUATION OUTCOMES | Below Expectations | Meets Expectations | E | xceeds Expect | ations | |
| Short Range Planning | | | | | | |
| Instruction | | | | | | |
| Environment | | | | | | |
| Professionalism | | | | | | |
| Mathematics Education | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | e a "Meets Expectations" rating | · · | nance domaii | n to be scored | as Satisfa | ictory. |
| The teacher candidate is | Unsatisfactory | Satisfactory | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | st to attending an introductory | meeting, participating in t | he midterm/ | <i>final</i> [circle on | e] <i>evalua</i> t | tion conference, and agreeing with the data/ratings |
| presented in the report. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Teacher Candidate | Mento | r Teacher | | Unive | rsity Supe | rvisor |

Directions: The **Math Education Internship I Evaluation Scoring Rubric** provides detailed descriptions for teacher candidates at each of the following levels: **EE***= "Exceeds Expectations," **ME**= "Meets Expectations," and **BE**= "Below Expectations." **NO** = "No Opportunity" (*NA in the SL&L system*); this column should be used if a candidate has not yet had the opportunity to demonstrate a competency. Please highlight the appropriate rating and then provide rating explanations under "Supporting Documentation and Evidence."

| _ | nain 1: SHORT-RANGE NNING | ВЕ | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----|--|--|--|---|---------|---------------------------------------|
| 1.1 | TC creates standards-based lessons in accordance with the requirements of the discipline, including learning objectives that are measurable, rigorous, and align with the standards. InTASC 4, 7; CAEP 1.3, 1.4 SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Standards and Objectives); Planning (Instructional Plans; Assessment) | Lesson plans do not align with unit goals. Some learning experiences included in the lessons do not meet any identified objectives or are developmentally inappropriate. Learning experiences are passive and do not ensure student engagement. Lesson plans do not meet expectations of the discipline. | Lesson plans are aligned with long-range goals and learning experiences are designed to achieve stated objectives. Lesson plans meet expectations of the discipline. Learning objectives are measureable, appropriately challenging, and align with the standards. | Lesson plans are aligned with long-range goals and learning experiences are designed to achieve stated objectives, and ensure student engagement. Lesson plans meet expectations of the discipline. Learning objectives are measureable, appropriately challenging, and align with the standards. | | |
| | Lesson plans meet expectations of NCTM 4b) Plan and create lesson in which students are actively eng | is that are developmentally approp | priate, sequential, and chal | lenging learning opportunitie | s groun | ded in mathematics education research |
| 1.2 | TC designs, selects, or modifies assessments that are aligned with lesson objectives. InTASC 6, 7; CAEP 1.2 SCTS 4.0 – Planning (Instructional Plans; Assessment) | Assessments do not align with lesson objectives, or no assessments are identified. | Lesson assessments align with lesson objectives and occur at least once during the lesson. | Informal and formal lesson assessments are appropriate (for age and knowledge level), align with lesson objectives, and occur at various points during the lesson. | | |
| | Lesson plans meet expectations of the discipline by demonstrating the following on a regular basis: NCTM 3f) Plan, select, implement, interpret, and use formative and summative assessments to inform instruction by reflecting on mathematical proficiencies essential for all students. | | | | | |
| 1.3 | TC uses data from formative, diagnostic, and summative | TC does not gather or examine student performance | TC uses student performance data | TC gathers and uses learner performance data | | |

| | assessments to guide instructional planning. InTASC 6, 7; CAEP 1.2 SCTS 4.0 – Planning (Instructional Plans; Assessment) | data or does not use data appropriately in the planning process. | (from previous assessments or pre-assessment) to guide instructional strategies. | from a variety of assessments to modify lesson objectives and instructional plans. | |
|-----|--|--|--|---|--|
| 1.4 | TC plans differentiated instruction to address diverse learning needs. InTASC 1, 7 CAEP 1.4 SCTS 4.0 – Planning (Instructional Plans) | Lesson plans do not include strategies for differentiation or meet requirements identified in IEPs and/or 504 plans. | Lesson plans include general strategies for addressing diverse learning needs. Plans meet requirements identified in IEPs and/or 504 plans, as applicable. | Lesson plans include specific strategies for differentiation of teaching procedures/pacing to address diverse learning needs. Plans meet requirements identified in IEPs and/or 504 plans, as applicable. | |

| | Below Expectations | Meets Expectations | Exceeds Expectations |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Overall rating for short-range planning | | | |

| Describe at least one short-range planning strength: | |
|--|--|
| List at least one short- range planning goal: | |

| Don | nain 2: INSTRUCTION | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|------------|--|---|--|--|----|---------------------------------------|
| 2.1 | TC effectively communicates appropriately challenging expectations to learners. CAEP 1.4 SCTS 4.0- Instruction (Standards and Objectives; Activities and Materials); Environment (Expectations) | TC does not communicate expectations for what students will know and be able to by the end of the lesson. | TC communicates appropriately challenging expectations for what students will know and be able to do by the end of the lesson. | TC communicates appropriately challenging expectations for what learners will know and be able to do by the end of the lesson (or lesson series), while explaining the purpose and relevance of the content. | | |
| | Lesson delivery meets expectations (NCTM 4d) Demonstrates equitable of | | | a regular basis: | | , |
| 2.2 | TC differentiates instruction to meet the needs of diverse learners. CAEP 1.4 SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Motivating Students; Activities and Materials; Teacher Content Knowledge; Teacher Knowledge of Students) | TC does not attempt to differentiate instruction. | TC uses general strategies to differentiate instruction in an attempt to meet the needs of diverse learners. | TC uses a variety of specific instructional strategies for meeting the need of diverse learners. | | |
| 2.3 | TC demonstrates thorough command of the content taught and appropriately addresses learner questions and misunderstandings related to the content. InTASC 4; CAEP 1.3; SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Presenting Instructional Content; Academic Feedback; Teacher Content Knowledge; Teacher Knowledge of Students) | TC presentation of content has misinformation and lacks clarity. | TC presentation of content is clear and accurate. TC correctly addresses learner questions and misconceptions. | TC's presentation of content is clear, precise, and accurate. The TC uses content knowledge to field questions, make connections, and address misconceptions. | | |

| 2.4 | TC measures student mastery of learning by using assessment strategies with established performance criteria. InTASC 6 SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Standards and Objectives) | TC does not establish performance criteria for assessments and/or does not conduct assessment of learning. | TC measures student mastery of learning through assessments (e.g. checks for understanding, quizzes, probing questions) with established performance criteria. | TC uses multiple assessments (e.g., checks for understanding, quizzes, probing questions) with established performance criteria throughout instruction to measure mastery of learning. In addition, candidate provides opportunities for individual learners to self- check during the lesson. | | |
|-----|--|---|--|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Lesson delivery meets expectations NCTM 3g) Monitor students' progresussessments. | | | | ng and abili | ity using formative and summative |
| 2.5 | TC provides specific and timely instructional feedback to students pertaining to stated outcomes. InTASC 6 SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Motivating Students; Academic Feedback) | TC rarely provides feedback or feedback is unrelated to lesson objectives. | TC provides general instructional feedback to students related to lesson objectives. Feedback is based on class-wide or individual responses. | TC provides specific , corrective, and timely instructional feedback to students related to lesson objectives. Feedback is based on class-wide or individual responses. | | |
| | Lesson delivery meets expectations of NCTM 3g) Monitor students' progreassessments. | | | | g and abili | ity using formative and summative |
| 2.6 | TC uses appropriate voice tone and pacing to manage instruction/environment effectively. SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Lesson Structure and Pacing; Presenting Instructional Content) | TC voice tone is inappropriate for managing instruction/environmen t. Lesson pacing interferes with student learning. | TC demonstrates effective teaching and communication skills through appropriate voice tone and lesson pacing/sequence. | TC demonstrated effective teaching and communication skills by varying voice tone and changing the pacing/sequence of the presentation based upon student feedback during lessons. | | |

| 2.7 | TC implements strategies that address the needs of learners from diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds. | TC makes no attempt to integrate cultural and linguistic backgrounds into instruction or learning | TC addresses the needs of students from diverse cultural and/or linguistic backgrounds with | TC uses strategies that address the needs of individual learners from diverse cultural backgrounds including | |
|-----|---|---|---|--|--|
| | CAEP 1.4 | instruction or learning experiences. | backgrounds with general strategies or | backgrounds including strategies such as | |
| | SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Motivating | experiences. | learning experiences. | providing examples that | |
| | Students; Teacher Knowledge of | | | are relevant to specific | |
| | Students) | | | culture. | |
| | Losson when a most superstations of the | and the telline bearing an acceptance | | | |

Lesson plans meet expectations of the discipline by demonstrating the following on a regular basis:

NCTM 4c) Incorporate knowledge of individual differences and the cultural and language diversity that exists within classrooms and include culturally relevant perspectives as a means to motivate and engage students

| | Below Expectations | Meets Expectations | Exceeds Expectations |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Overall rating for instruction | | | |

| Describe at least one instruction strength: | |
|---|--|
| List at least one instruction goal: | |

| Don | nain 3: ENVIRONMENT | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----|--|---|--|--|----|---------------------------------------|
| 3.1 | TC maintains a physically safe educational environment that is conducive to learning. SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Lesson Structure and Pacing); Environment (Environment) | TC does not follow safety procedures, which results or could result in lack of learning and/or student harm. | TC follows the mentor teacher's procedures to physically arrange the classroom to avoid distractions and ensure safe use of materials. | TC follows safety procedures and makes adjustments to the physical environment to promote learning, avoid distractions, and ensure safe use of materials. | | |
| 3.2 | TC maintains a respectful, inclusive environment through which interactions (teacher/student, student/student) acknowledge and celebrate diverse backgrounds and cultures. InTASC 2 SCTS 4.0 – Environment (Respectful Culture) | TC responds to students with bias toward students who differ by gender, ethnicity, exceptionality, sexual orientation, or social economic status. TC tolerates bullying and/or disrespectful peer interactions. | TC addresses student difficulties, concerns, and questions without bias towards gender, ethnicity, exceptionality, sexual orientation, or social economic status. TC works to establish a bully-free environment and foster interactions which exhibit awareness of students' background and culture and are free from unhealthy conflict, sarcasm, and put-downs. | TC responds positively to learner difficulties, concerns, and questions without bias towards gender, ethnicity, exceptionality, sexual orientation, or socioeconomic status. TC establishes a bully-free environment and considers proactive measures to foster classroom community and respect for diversity which acknowledge and celebrate all students' background and culture. TC ensures all interactions are free from unhealthy conflict, sarcasm, and putdowns. | | |

Lesson plans meet expectations of the discipline by demonstrating the following on a regular basis: **NCTM 4c)** Incorporate knowledge of individual differences and the cultural and language diversity that exists within classrooms and include culturally relevant

perspectives as a means to motivate and engage students.

| Don | nain 3: ENVIRONMENT | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----|--|---|---|--|----|---------------------------------------|
| 3.3 | TC creates environments that promote positive social interaction and collaboration in the learning environment. InTASC 3 SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Lesson Structure and Pacing; Activities and Materials; Grouping Students); Environment (Environment; Respectful Culture) | TC rarely uses strategies that promote student social interaction. | TC implements instructional activities (partner and group work, procedures, project-based learning, etc.) to support positive social interactions and productive teamwork. | TC structures instructional and non-instructional routines and activities (partner and group work, procedures, project-based learning, etc.) to support positive social interactions, productive teamwork, and collaborative learning. | | |
| 3.4 | TC manages instructional routines and transitions between activities or classes in an efficient and orderly manner. InTASC 3 SCTS 4.0 – Instruction (Activities and Materials) Environment (Expectations; Managing Student Behavior) | TC implements ineffective procedures for managing routines and transitions resulting in reduced active engagement in instructional activities and increased problem behavior. | TC follows the mentor teacher's procedures for managing instructional and non-instructional routines (e.g., bathroom breaks, sharpening pencils, turning in work), and transitions. | TC follows and makes appropriate adjustments to the mentor teacher's procedures for managing instructional and noninstructional routines (e.g., bathroom breaks, sharpening pencils, turning in work), and transitions. | | |

| | Below Expectations | Meets Expectations | Exceeds Expectations |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Overall rating for environment | | | |

| Describe at least one environment strength: | |
|---|--|
| List at least one environment goal: | |

| Do | main 4: PROFESSIONALISM | ВЕ | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----|--|--|--|--|----|---|
| 4.1 | TC effectively co-teaches with the mentor teacher. | TC does not engage in coteaching with the mentor teacher. | TC follows the guidance of the mentor teacher to plan and implement cotaught lessons. | TC collaborates with the mentor teacher to plan and implement co-taught lessons. | | |
| 4.2 | | and collaborative learning tha | t draws upon research in ma | thematics education to inform pr | | nhance learning opportunities for all and advance their development as a |
| 4.3 | TC demonstrates effective verbal communication that is appropriate for the intended audiences and uses standard English. | TC's verbal communication is not appropriate for students and/or professionals and/or does not reflect standard English conventions. | TC's verbal communication is appropriate for students and professionals and reflects standard English conventions. | TC's verbal communication integrates professional vocabulary which is appropriate for students and professionals and reflect standard English conventions. | | |

| Do | main 4: PROFESSIONALISM | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----|---|--|--|--|----|---------------------------------------|
| 4.4 | TC demonstrates effective external written communication that is appropriate for the intended audience and uses standard English. | TC's external written communication is not appropriate for students and/or professionals and/or does not reflect standard English conventions (i.e., frequent or substantive errors in writing mechanics and/or sentence structure). | TC's external written communication is appropriate for students and caregivers and reflects standard English conventions (i.e., few errors in writing mechanics and sentence structure). | TC's external written communication is clear and appropriate for varied audiences. Communication reflects standard English conventions (i.e., no errors in writing mechanics and sentence structure) and includes proficient use of professional language. | | |
| 4.5 | TC adheres to the university and school/district rules, Standards of Conduct for South Carolina Educators, and FERPA requirements and acts appropriately when faced with legal issues with children. ** InTASC 9 | TC violates one or more of the school/district rules, Standards of Conduct for South Carolina Educators, or FERPA requirements, and/or the TC's lack of actions on legal issues involves harm to the children served. | TC's conduct conforms to school/district rules as well as the Standards of Conduct for South Carolina Educators. The TC observes confidentiality of student information (FERPA). The TC acts appropriately when faced with legal issues facing the children he/she serves. | TC meets all requirements at the acceptable level and demonstrates an advocacy position when discussing or acting upon legal issues related to students. | | |

| Do | main 4: PROFESSIONALISM | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----|--|---|--|--|----|---------------------------------------|
| 4.6 | TC demonstrates professional responsibility (e.g. preparedness, responsibility, initiative, time management) SCTS 4.0 – Environment (Environment) Professionalism (Growing and Developing Professionally) | TC is not prepared to teach each day. Lesson plans may be missing or incomplete; materials may not be organized in advance; others (assistants or colleagues) may not be informed of their instructional roles for the lesson. Lack of preparedness and initiative negatively impacts student learning opportunities. | TC comes to the classroom prepared for each day. TC prepares plans in advance and discusses them with the mentor teacher. TC organizes materials and activities in advance. | TC comes to the classroom prepared for each day and displays a high degree of organization. Plans are prepared in advance and discussed with the mentor teacher. TC organizes materials and activities in advance and takes initiative for various classroom responsibilities. | | |
| 4.7 | TC is receptive to constructive criticism from mentor teacher, university supervisor, and administrators and incorporates feedback. SCTS 4.0 – Professionalism (Growing and Developing Professionally) | TC is argumentative, oppositional, or defensive when receiving constructive feedback or professional learning. TC makes no attempt to incorporate appropriate feedback from others (i.e., planning, instruction, assessment, management, communication, and/or dispositions). | TC receives feedback in a mature manner and appropriately incorporates appropriate feedback from others (i.e., planning, instruction, assessment, management, communication, and/or dispositions). | TC seeks and is receptive to constructive feedback. TC receives and appropriately incorporates appropriate feedback from others (i.e., planning, instruction, assessment, management, communication, and/or dispositions). | | |

| Do | main 4: PROFESSIONALISM | BE | ME | EE* | NO | SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION and EVIDENCE |
|-----|--|---|---|---|----|---------------------------------------|
| 4.8 | TC uses self-reflection to evaluate and improve professional practice. InTASC 9 SCTS 4.0 – Professionalism (Reflecting on Teaching) | TC's reflections include general statements not supported by specific examples and plans for change are not included. | TC's reflections include specific statements supported by evidence (assessment data, observation, student behavior, artifacts, etc.) to improve instruction and student learning. | TC's reflections include specific statements supported by evidence (assessment data, observation, student behavior, artifacts, etc.). Reflections included detailed explanations of strategies that will be used to improve instruction and student learning. | | |

Lesson plans meet expectations of the discipline by demonstrating the following on a regular basis:

NCTM 6b) Engage in continuous and collaborative learning that draws upon research in mathematics education to inform practice; enhance learning opportunities for all students' mathematical knowledge development; involve colleagues, other school professionals, families, and various stakeholders; and advance their development as a reflective practitioner.

^{**} A Below Expectations rating on this item may result in failure for the internship.

| | Below Expectations | Meets Expectations | Exceeds Expectations |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Overall rating for professionalism | | | |

| Describe at least one professionalism strength: | |
|---|--|
| List at least one professionalism goal: | |

| DOMAIN 5: Math | ematics Education | BE | ME | EE* | NO | |
|--|--|--|---|--|----|--|
| standards for seco | pply knowledge of curriculum ndary mathematics and their dent learning within and across nains. | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| 5.2 NCTM 3b) Analyze and consider research in planning for and leading students in rich mathematical learning experiences. | | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| 5.3 NCTM 3c) Plan lessons and | a variety of strategies building all students' conceptual understanding and procedural proficiency. | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in lessons plans. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in lessons plans. | The TC demonstrates in lessons plans at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| units that incorporate | differentiated instruction for diverse populations building all students' conceptual understanding and procedural proficiency. | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in lessons plans. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in lessons plans. | The TC demonstrates in lessons plans at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| opportunities to co and make connect | 5.4 NCTM 3d) Provide students with opportunities to communicate about mathematics and make connections among mathematics, other content areas, everyday life, and the workplace. | | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| 5.5 NCTM 3e) Implement techniques | selecting high quality tasks | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in instruction. | The TC demonstrates in instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| related to student engagement and communication including | guiding mathematical discussions | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in instruction. | The TC demonstrates in instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |

| DOMAIN 5: Math | ematics Education | BE | ME | EE* | NO | |
|--|---|--|---|--|----|--|
| | identifying key mathematical ideas | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in instruction. | The TC demonstrates in instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| | identifying and addressing student misconceptions | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in instruction. | The TC demonstrates in instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| | employing a range of questioning strategies | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in instruction. | The TC demonstrates in instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| learning, develope demonstrate a pos | 5.6 NCTM 4a) Exhibit knowledge of adolescent learning, development, and behavior and demonstrate a positive disposition toward mathematical processes and learning. | | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| 5.7 NCTM 4e) Apply mathematical content and pedagogical knowledge to | manipulatives and physical models | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| select and use instructional tools and make sound decisions about when such tools | drawings | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| enhance teaching and learning, recognizing both the insights to be | virtual environments | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |

| DOMAIN 5: Math | nematics Education | BE | ME | EE* | NO | |
|---|---|--|---|--|----|--|
| gained and possible limitations of such tools. | spreadsheets | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| | presentation tools | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| | mathematics-specific technologies (e.g., graphing tools, interactive geometry software, computer algebra systems, and statistical packages) | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| | conceptual understanding | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in instruction. | The TC demonstrates in instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| | procedural fluency | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in instruction. | The TC demonstrates in instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| 5.8 NCTM 5a) Verify that secondary students demonstrate | the ability to formulate, represent, and solve problems | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in instruction. | The TC demonstrates in instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| | logical reasoning and continuous reflection on that reasoning | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in instruction. | The TC demonstrates in instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| | productive disposition toward mathematics | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in instruction. | The TC demonstrates in instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |

| DOMAIN 5: Math | nematics Education | BE | ME | EE* | NO | |
|--|--|---|--|---|----|--|
| | the application of mathematics in a variety of contexts within major mathematical domains | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in instruction. | The TC demonstrates in instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| reflect on diagnos assessment evider which students' n | ollect, organize, analyze, and stic, formative, and summative nee and determine the extent to nathematical proficiencies have ult of their instruction. | The TC does not use assessment in discussions of lessons and lesson planning process. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in discussions of lessons and lesson planning process. | The TC demonstrates in discussions of lessons and lesson planning process at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| professional grow professional deve relate to the learn | Take an active role in their of the by participating in lopment experiences that directly ing and teaching of mathematics. In membership in organization as | No opportunities were available through the school and the TC did not seek other opportunities. | The TC participated (as available) more than once during the semester. | The TC participated in most available development opportunities during the semester. | | |
| | The state of the s | The TC does not or rarely demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC regularly and appropriately demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction. | The TC demonstrates in lessons plans and instruction at a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |
| 5.12 NCTM 7c) Develop knowledge, skills, and professional behaviors across middle or high school settings; examine the nature of mathematics, how mathematics should be taught, and how students learn mathematics; and observe and analyze a range of approaches to mathematics teaching and learning, focusing on tasks, discourse, environment, and assessment. | | The TC has not developed at an acceptable rate to indicate readiness for next placement experience (Internship II). | The TC consistently develops appropriate growth across the internship experience. | The TC reaches a level similar to a practicing teacher. | | |

^{*} Both "Meets Expectations" and "Exceeds Expectations" are considered acceptable work for a teacher candidate. The "Exceeds Expectations" category should be used to distinguish work in the top 2% of all teacher candidates.

| | Below Expectations | Meets Expectations | Exceeds Expectations |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Overall rating for mathematics education | | | |

| Describe at least one mathematics education strength: | |
|---|--|
| List at least one mathematics education goal: | |